

ARTICLE APPEARED  
ON PAGE A/1

NEW YORK TIMES  
29 April 1987

## LAWMAKERS ASSAIL PACT ON EMBASSIES

**J** By NEIL A. LEWIS  
Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, April 28 — Several members of Congress moved today to force the Administration to scrap the agreement allowing the Soviet Union to build a new embassy on one of the highest hills in Washington, according to officials in the State Department and on Capitol Hill.

"We want them off that site," said Representative William Broomfield, the ranking Republican member of the House Foreign Affairs committee.

Mr. Broomfield is working on one of several proposals making their way through the House and the Senate to bar the Soviet diplomats from the site known as Mount Alto, in northwest Washington. Mr. Broomfield and others said in interviews that legislation to end Soviet use of the site was a certainty in the present atmosphere.

The legislation could take the form of amendments to a bill authorizing State Department operations for the coming year. The bill is expected to be ready in two weeks.

Under an agreement signed in 1969, the Soviet Union and the United States provided each other with new embassy sites. The Soviet Union was given a high vantage point here that some lawmakers say helps the Russians' electronic eavesdropping efforts.

### Inquiry on Moscow Embassy

At the same time, Congress has been investigating reported security breaches at the existing American Embassy in Moscow and charges that a new embassy building under construction is riddled with electronic listening devices.

A House Foreign Affairs subcommittee on operations voted today to subpoena State Department files after the chairman complained that information about security breaches in Moscow was being withheld from Congress.

"We are not a disinterested party, we hold the purse strings for the whole State Department," said the subcommittee chairman, Representative Daniel A. Mica, who is a Florida Democrat.

Mr. Mica said the State Department had failed to provide as promised some documents relating to security breaches.

"I don't know what is going on over there at the State Department," he said. "The whole management team is unraveling."

Mr. Mica's panel voted 6 to 0 to issue a subpoena to department officials to produce all files relating to security at the current embassy and the one under construction. He complained that he had received looseleaf folders that did not include some items noted in a table of contents.

### Secret Cables Are Withheld

The State Department spokesman, Charles E. Redman, said that some secret cables had been withheld and that the State Department would "negotiate" their release to Congress.

Mr. Broomfield said that his amendment would require the United States to compensate the Soviet Union for any damages incurred in relocating to a new site. A similar amendment has been prepared by Representative Richard Armey, a Texas Republican.

In the Senate, Senator Bob Dole of Kansas, the Republican leader, has drafted a measure to force the Russians to leave Mount Alto if the new American Embassy in Moscow cannot be made secure by the end of the year.

A State Department official familiar with the issue today said that there would be no impediment in international law to scrapping the agreement as long as Moscow was given proper notice and was paid for any damages.

The official said the Soviet Union was believed to have spent \$60 to \$70 million so far on the site. Some lawmakers believe the Russians should be asked to compensate the United States for the \$140 million spent so far on the new American embassy building in Moscow.

President Reagan has said that the Soviet Union will not be allowed to occupy all the buildings on Mount Alto until the United States is satisfied that it has a secure new embassy.